

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10



## Richfield Elementary

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the school's contact information.

| School                  |                        | District              |                      |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| <b>School Name</b>      | Richfield Elementary   | <b>District Name</b>  | Richfield Elementary |
| <b>Street</b>           | 23875 River Road       | <b>Phone Number</b>   |                      |
| <b>City, State, Zip</b> | Corning, CA 96021-9771 | <b>Web Site</b>       | 1                    |
| <b>Phone Number</b>     | (530) 824-3354         | <b>Superintendent</b> |                      |
| <b>Principal</b>        | Todd Brose             | <b>E-mail Address</b> |                      |
| <b>E-mail Address</b>   | tbrose@tehamaed.org    | <b>CDS Code</b>       | 52-71654-6053672     |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

### Mission Statement

It is the mission of our school to provide a strong foundation to all of our students so that they may each realize their full potential as lifetime learners, and as responsible and productive members of our society.

### Core Values

#### Students

Our students leave Richfield School as excellent readers, valuing books, knowing books hold the knowledge of the past and the imagination of the future.

Our students leave Richfield School with group skills, knowing how to cooperate and function effectively with their peers.

Our students leave Richfield School having respect for others.

Our students leave Richfield School with a strong foundation of skills necessary for them to be successful in high school and beyond.

#### Learning

Learners will feel individually known, cared for, and nurtured.

Skills learned will be applicable to life, citizenship, and future education.

All staff members are responsible for student learning.

#### Staff Beliefs

All of the students belong to all of us.

A sense of team and family, combined with high expectations, guide our daily work.

Committed to success, we work to continually improve ourselves, our students, and our school.

Richfield Elementary School is rurally located among orchards and small farms in the Southern end of Tehama County. Serving approx. 220 students Kindergarten through Eighth Grade, Richfield Elementary observes a traditional school calendar year. It is the vision of Richfield School to provide a strong foundation for all students so they may realize their full potential as life time learners and become responsible and productive members of our society.

The entire school community along with the PTO continually works to enhance self-esteem and enrich the students life through meaningful learning activities that promote positive self esteem, responsible behavior, and academic success. Many parents can be found as classroom volunteers and sit on the School Site Council.

All staff members work to provide students with rich, integrated, and well-coordinated curriculum following state standards. All students, staff and parents are expected to work to the best of their potential and strive for academic excellence.

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

**Contact Person Name:** Amanda Hopping

**Contact Person Phone Number:** (530) 824-3354

Richfield appreciates the involvement of parents in our school. Many volunteers participate in classroom activities, field trips, and helping in the library. Richfield has a strong parents club (P.T.O.) that actively supports the students and staff. The P.T.O. raises money annually to purchase classroom supplies, books, awards, and playground equipment. Richfield parents attended five parent trainings this year at school. Approximately 100 parents and students attended monthly family library nights. Teachers meet with parents before and after school, and also visit parents in their homes. Richfield parents are encouraged to be an active force in our school. Research proves that schools and students perform better with actively involved parents.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

| Grade Level  | Number of Students | Grade Level         | Number of Students |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Kindergarten | 22                 | Grade 8             | 31                 |
| Grade 1      | 17                 | Ungraded Elementary | 0                  |
| Grade 2      | 21                 | Grade 9             | 0                  |
| Grade 3      | 32                 | Grade 10            | 0                  |
| Grade 4      | 28                 | Grade 11            | 0                  |
| Grade 5      | 29                 | Grade 12            | 0                  |
| Grade 6      | 34                 | Ungraded Secondary  | 0                  |
| Grade 7      | 28                 | Total Enrollment    | 242                |

## Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

| Group                            | Percent of Total Enrollment | Group                           | Percent of Total Enrollment |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| African American                 | 2.89%                       | White (not Hispanic)            | 65.29%                      |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.41%                       | Multiple or No Response         | 0.00%                       |
| Asian                            | 0.83%                       | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 50.00%                      |
| Filipino                         | 0.41%                       | English Learners                | 13.00%                      |
| Hispanic or Latino               | 30.17%                      | Students with Disabilities      | 6.00%                       |
| Pacific Islander                 | 0.00%                       | n/a                             | --                          |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

| Grade Level  | 2006-07         |                      |       |     | 2007-08         |                      |       |     | 2008-09         |                      |       |     |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----|
|              | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |       |     | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |       |     | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |       |     |
|              |                 | 1-20                 | 21-32 | 33+ |                 | 1-20                 | 21-32 | 33+ |                 | 1-20                 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| Kindergarten | 16.0            | 2                    | 0     | 0   | 20.0            | 1                    | 0     | 0   | 22.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   |
| 1            | 23.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   | 22.0            | 0                    | 2     | 0   | 17.0            | 1                    | 0     | 0   |
| 2            | 19.0            | 1                    | 0     | 0   | 19.0            | 1                    | 0     | 0   | 21.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   |
| 3            | 15.0            | 1                    | 0     | 0   | 16.0            | 1                    | 0     | 0   | 16.0            | 2                    | 0     | 0   |
| 4            | 24.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   | 29.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   | 28.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   |
| 5            | 24.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   | 26.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   | 29.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   |
| 6            | 25.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   | 29.0            | 0                    | 1     | 0   | 34.0            | 0                    | 0     | 1   |
| k-3          | 11.0            | 1                    | 0     | 0   | 17.0            | 1                    | 0     | 0   | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   |
| 3-4          | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   |
| 4-8          | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   |
| Other        | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   | 0.0             | 0                    | 0     | 0   |

## III. School Climate

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Richfield School District maintains a comprehensive school safety plan to ensure the safety of all students. The School Site Council acts as the safety committee, updating the plan annually. The staff holds an annual safety meeting in April to review plan. Evacuation, lock-down, and safety drills are performed throughout the year.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

| Rate        | School  |         |         | District |         |         |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
|             | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2006-07  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 |
| Suspensions | 0.0     | 5.6     | 3.7     | 0.0      | 5.6     | 3.7     |
| Expulsions  | 0.0     | 0.4     | 0.0     | 0.0      | 0.4     | 0.0     |

Date School Safety Plan last reviewed: 4/10/2009

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## IV. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Richfield Elementary School campus is square feet. There are 12 classrooms, a science lab, a library, a computer lab, a separate pre-school room, a full size gymnasium, administrative offices, and a cafeteria. Recently constructed in 2006, the facility is in excellent condition, maintained by a 3 member custodial/maintenance staff. Because of the rural area, the school provides a safe environment for students. Lockdowns are routinely practiced and the campus is well protected.

### Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-2010)

Richfield School moved into a new facility in November of 2006. Construction of a pre-school and science lab was completed in 2008. The school plans for one more round of construction in the next two years.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

| Item Inspected                                  | Repair Status |      |      | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|------|------|-------------------------------------------|
|                                                 | Good          | Fair | Poor |                                           |
| Gas Leaks                                       | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Mechanical Systems                              | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)     | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings) | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)     | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Structural Damage                               | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Fire Safety                                     | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Electrical (interior and exterior)              | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Pest/Vermin Infestation                         | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)         | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Restrooms                                       | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Sewer                                           | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Playground/School Grounds                       | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Roofs                                           | X             |      |      |                                           |
| Overall Cleanliness                             | X             |      |      |                                           |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Overall Summary of School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the overall summary of the results of the most recently completed school site inspection.

| Item Inspected  | Facility Condition |      |      |      |
|-----------------|--------------------|------|------|------|
|                 | Excellent          | Good | Fair | Poor |
| Overall Summary |                    | X    |      |      |

Date of inspection: January 2010

Completion date of inspection form: January 2010

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

| Teachers                                           | School  |         |         | District |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
|                                                    | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2008-09  |
| <b>With Full Credential</b>                        | 13      | 13      | 12      | 12       |
| <b>Without Full Credential</b>                     | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0        |
| <b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b> | --      | --      | --      | n/a      |

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

| Indicator                                             | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| <b>Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners</b> | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| <b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>                       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| <b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>                   | 0       | 0       | 0       |

### Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

| Location of Classes                     | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects |                                       |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                         | Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers            | Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers |
| <b>This School</b>                      | 100.0%                                       | 0.0%                                  |
| <b>All Schools in District</b>          | 100.0%                                       | 0.0%                                  |
| <b>High-Poverty Schools in District</b> |                                              |                                       |
| <b>Low-Poverty Schools in District</b>  |                                              |                                       |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

| Title                                           | Number of FTE Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Academic Counselor                              | 0.0                              | 15                                                |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian)               | --                               | --                                                |
| Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional) | --                               | --                                                |
| Psychologist                                    | 0.1                              | --                                                |
| Social Worker                                   | --                               | --                                                |
| Nurse                                           | 0.1                              | --                                                |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist              | 0.1                              | --                                                |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching)              | --                               | --                                                |
| Other                                           | --                               | --                                                |

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

#### Textbook Information

This section provides information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Every student has access to the most recent state adopted textbooks in all core subjects. They are consistent with the curriculum frameworks and were chosen through a selection process that included teachers and administrator.

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

This section provides information if any insufficiency exists, and the reason that each pupil does not have sufficient textbooks or instructional materials.

## Textbook Availability

Textbooks and instructional materials used in the district in the core subject areas of English-Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and History-Social Science

| Subject Area           | Textbook Title and/or Publisher | Year of Adoption |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| English-Language Arts  | Holt Rhinehart                  | 2004             |
| English-Language Arts  | Houghton Mifflin                | 2004             |
| History-Social Science | Holt Rhinehart                  | 2006             |
| History-Social Science | Scott Foresman                  | 2006             |
| Mathematics            | Prentice Hall                   | 2008             |
| Mathematics            | Saxon Math                      | 2008             |
| Science                | Harcourt Brace                  | 2004             |

## Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials

This table displays information about the percent of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials

| Core Curriculum Area                       | Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Reading/Language Arts                      | 0%                                                                                  |
| Mathematics                                | 0%                                                                                  |
| Science                                    | 0%                                                                                  |
| History-Social Science                     | 0%                                                                                  |
| Foreign Language                           | 0%                                                                                  |
| Health                                     | 0%                                                                                  |
| Visual and Performing Arts                 | 0%                                                                                  |
| Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12) | 0%                                                                                  |

Textbook Information Collection Date: September, 2009

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found at the Current Expense of Education Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

| Subject Area                                  | Total Expenditures Per Pupil | Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental) | Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic) | Average Teacher Salary |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| School                                        | \$7,799.00                   | \$1,529.00                            | \$6,570.00                     | \$54,242.00            |
| District                                      | n/a                          | n/a                                   | --                             | \$53,174.00            |
| Percent Difference – School Site and District | --                           | --                                    | --                             | 2.0%                   |
| State                                         | n/a                          | n/a                                   | \$5,512.00                     | \$56,284.00            |
| Percent Difference – School Site and State    | --                           | --                                    | 16.1%                          | 3.8%                   |

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

The Richfield District spent \$7,799 per student for all educational service including transportation, health screening, instructional materials, curriculum, athletics and maintenance and operations. This includes funds spent for general education, special education and federal/state funded programs.

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

| Range                                         | District    | State Average For Districts In Same Category |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Beginning Teacher Salary                      | \$38,019.00 | \$38,481.00                                  |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary                      | \$50,146.00 | \$55,789.00                                  |
| Highest Teacher Salary                        | \$69,331.00 | \$70,849.00                                  |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary)         | \$0.00      | \$88,862.00                                  |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle)             | \$0.00      | \$94,015.00                                  |
| Average Principal Salary (High)               | \$0.00      | \$97,594.00                                  |
| Superintendent Salary                         | \$90,210.00 | \$110,994.00                                 |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries        | 37.1%       | 37.2%                                        |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 5.1%        | 6.6%                                         |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/starpkt5intrpts.pdf>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

| Subject                | School  |         |         | District |         |         | State   |         |         |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                        | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2006-07  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 |
| English-Language Arts  | 64%     | 61%     | 62%     | 64%      | 61%     | 62%     | 43%     | 46%     | 50%     |
| Mathematics            | 72%     | 74%     | 71%     | 72%      | 74%     | 71%     | 40%     | 43%     | 46%     |
| Science                | 58%     | 61%     | 54%     | 58%      | 61%     | 54%     | 38%     | 46%     | 50%     |
| History-Social Science | 52%     | 31%     | 47%     | 52%      | 31%     | 47%     | 33%     | 36%     | 41%     |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

| Group                                         | Percentage of Students Scoring At Proficient or Advanced |             |         |                        |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|------------------------|
|                                               | English-Language Arts                                    | Mathematics | Science | History-Social Science |
| African American                              | *                                                        | *           | *       | *                      |
| American Indian or Alaska Native              | *                                                        | *           |         |                        |
| Asian                                         | *                                                        | *           | *       | *                      |
| Filipino                                      | *                                                        | *           |         |                        |
| Hispanic or Latino                            | 39%                                                      | 54%         | 24%     |                        |
| Pacific Islander                              |                                                          |             |         |                        |
| White (not Hispanic)                          | 72%                                                      | 78%         | 67%     | 63%                    |
| Male                                          | 61%                                                      | 72%         | 57%     | *                      |
| Female                                        | 64%                                                      | 71%         | 53%     | 40%                    |
| Economically Disadvantaged                    | 48%                                                      | 55%         | 29%     | 21%                    |
| English Learners                              | 19%                                                      | 42%         | *       | *                      |
| Students with Disabilities                    | 17%                                                      | 42%         | *       |                        |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services |                                                          |             |         |                        |

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2007-08)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9 only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found at the Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

| Grade Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |                       |                      |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|             | Four of Six Standards                         | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |
| 5           | 3.70%                                         | 29.60%                | 55.60%               |
| 7           | 19.20%                                        | 26.90%                | 34.60%               |
| 9           | 0.00%                                         | 0.00%                 | 0.00%                |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL) is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Web page at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/>.

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/nr/>.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – All Students

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight.

| Subject and Grade Level   | Average Scale Score |          | Percent at Achievement Level |            |          |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------|----------|
|                           | State               | National | Basic                        | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading 2007, Grade 4     | 209                 | 220      | 30                           | 18         | 5        |
| Reading 2007, Grade 8     | 251                 | 261      | 41                           | 20         | 2        |
| Mathematics 2009, Grade 4 | 232                 | 239      | 41                           | 25         | 5        |
| Mathematics 2009, Grade 8 | 270                 | 282      | 36                           | 18         | 5        |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – All Students

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

| Subject and Grade Level   | State Participation Rate   |                  | National Participation Rate |                  |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
|                           | Students with Disabilities | English Learners | Students with Disabilities  | English Learners |
| Reading 2007, Grade 4     | 74                         | 93               | 65                          | 80               |
| Reading 2007, Grade 8     | 78                         | 92               | 66                          | 77               |
| Mathematics 2009, Grade 4 | 79                         | 96               | 84                          | 94               |
| Mathematics 2009, Grade 8 | 85                         | 96               | 78                          | 92               |

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

### API Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing 10 schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| API Rank        | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|
| Statewide       | 8    | 9    | 8    |
| Similar Schools | 10   | 10   | 9    |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## API Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays by student group the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

| Group                            | Actual API Change |         |         | Growth API Score |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|------------------|
|                                  | 2006-07           | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009             |
| All Students at the School       | 22                | -18     | -1      | 839              |
| African American                 | --                | --      | --      | --               |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | --                | --      | --      | --               |
| Asian                            | --                | --      | --      | --               |
| Filipino                         | --                | --      | --      | --               |
| Hispanic or Latino               | -19               | 24      | -42     | 752              |
| Pacific Islander                 | --                | --      | --      | --               |
| White (not Hispanic)             | 44                | -39     | 11      | 871              |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  | 14                | -5      | -36     | 771              |
| English Learners                 | --                | --      | --      | --               |
| Students with Disabilities       | --                | --      | --      | --               |

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the AYP Web page <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

## AYP Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

| AYP Criteria                               | School | District |
|--------------------------------------------|--------|----------|
| Overall                                    | No     | No       |
| Participation Rate - English-Language Arts | Yes    | Yes      |
| Participation Rate - Mathematics           | Yes    | Yes      |
| Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts | No     | No       |
| Percent Proficient - Mathematics           | Yes    | Yes      |
| API                                        | Yes    | Yes      |
| Graduation Rate                            | n/a    | n/a      |

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

| Indicator                                           | School    | District  |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Program Improvement Status                          | Not in PI | Not In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement                   |           |           |
| Year in Program Improvement                         |           |           |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement  |           |           |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement |           |           |

## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on how teachers and staff are trained for instructional improvement.

Richfield Elementary supports many varied staff development activities to improve effectiveness of the instructional program. Staff development is provided on site through the use of staff expertise as well as outside consultants. Staff are given opportunities to attend conferences, workshops, and seminars both within and outside the local area. This year staff attended trainings for reading, math/algebra, class size reduction, technology, standards development, vocabulary, literature and science.

### Professional Development Days

This table displays information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

|                                                             | Instructional Days With At Least 180 Instructional Minutes |         |         |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
|                                                             | 2006-07                                                    | 2007-08 | 2008-09 |
| Annual number of school days dedicated to staff development | 3                                                          | 3       | 3       |